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Teaching programming

- How can we teach programming without being tied down by the limitations of existing tools and languages?
- Programming is almost always taught as a craft in the context of current technology (e.g., Java and its tools)
  - Any science given is either limited to the current technology or is too theoretical
- We would like to teach programming as a unified discipline that is both practical and theoretically sound
- The concepts-based approach shows one way to achieve this

What is programming?

- Let us define “programming” broadly
  - The act of extending or changing a system’s functionality
  - For a software system, it is the activity that starts with a specification and leads to its solution as a program
- This definition covers a lot
  - It covers both programming “in the small” and “in the large”
  - It covers both (language-independent) architectural issues and (language-dependent) coding issues
  - It is unbiased by the limitations of any particular language, tool, or design methodology
Concepts-based approach

- Factorize programming languages into their primitive concepts
  - Depending on which concepts are used, the different programming paradigms appear as epiphenomena
  - Which concepts are the right ones? An important question that will lead us to the creative extension principle: add concepts to overcome limitations in expressiveness.
- For teaching, we start with a simple language with few concepts, and we add concepts one by one according to this principle
  - We show how the major programming paradigms are related and how and when to use them together
- We have applied this approach in a much broader and deeper way than has been done before (e.g., by Abelson & Sussman)
  - Based on research results from a long-term collaboration

How can we teach programming paradigms?

- Different languages support different paradigms
  - **Java**: object-oriented programming
  - **Haskell**: functional programming
  - **Erlang**: concurrent and distributed programming (for reliability)
  - **Prolog**: logic programming
  - Many more languages and paradigms are used in industry
- We would like to understand these languages and paradigms
  - They are all important and practical
- Does this mean we have to study each of them separately?
  - New syntaxes to learn …
  - New semantics to learn …
  - New systems to install and learn …
- No!
Our pragmatic solution

- Use the concepts-based approach
  - With Oz as single language
  - With Mozart Programming System as single system
- This supports all the paradigms we want to teach
  - But we are not dogmatic about Oz
  - We use it because it fits the approach well
- We situate other languages inside our general framework
  - We can give a deep understanding rather quickly, for example:
    - Visibility rules of Java and C++
    - Inner classes of Java
    - Good programming style in Prolog
    - Message receiving in Erlang
    - Lazy programming techniques in Haskell

The textbook

- We have written a textbook to support the approach
  - The textbook is based on more than a decade of research by an international group, the Mozart Consortium
- Goals of the textbook
  - To present programming as a unified discipline in which each programming paradigm has its part
  - To teach programming without the limitations of particular languages and their historical accidents of syntax and semantics
Some courses (1)

- Second-year course (Datalogi II at KTH, CS2104 at NUS) by Seif Haridi and Christian Schulte
  - Start with declarative programming
  - Explain declarative techniques and higher-order programming
  - Explain semantics
  - Add threads: leads to declarative (dataflow) concurrency
  - Add ports (communication channels): leads to message-passing concurrency (agents)
  - Declarative programming, concurrency, and multi-agent systems
    - For many reasons, this is a better start than OOP

Some courses (2)

- Second-year course (FSAC1450 and LINF1251 at UCL) by Peter Van Roy
  - Start with declarative programming
  - Explain declarative techniques
  - Explain semantics
  - Add cells (mutable state)
  - Explain data abstraction: objects and ADTs
  - Explain object-oriented programming: classes, polymorphism, and inheritance
  - Add threads: leads to declarative concurrency
  - Most comprehensive overview in one early course
Some courses (3)

- Third-year course (INGI2131 at UCL) by Peter Van Roy
  - Review of declarative programming
  - Add threads: leads to declarative concurrency
    - Add by-need synchronization: leads to lazy execution
    - Combining lazy execution and concurrency
  - Add ports (communication channels): leads to message-passing concurrency
    - Designing multi-agent systems
  - Add cells (mutable state): leads to shared-state concurrency
    - Tuple spaces (Linda-like)
    - Locks, monitors, transactions
  - Focus on concurrent programming

A more advanced course

- This is an example of a more advanced course given at an unnamed institution
- It covers many more paradigms, their semantics, and some of their relationships
Foundations of the concepts-based approach

History: the ancestry of Oz

- The concepts-based approach distills the results of a long-term research collaboration that started in the early 1990s
  - ACCLAIM project 1991-94: SICS, Saarland University, Digital PRL, …
    - AKL (SICS): unifies the concurrent and constraint strains of logic programming, thus realizing one vision of the FGCS
    - LIFE (Digital PRL): unifies logic and functional programming using logical entailment as a delaying operation (logic as a control flow mechanism!)
    - Oz (Saarland U): breaks with Horn clause tradition, is higher-order, factorizes and simplifies previous designs
  - After ACCLAIM, these partners decided to continue with Oz
  - Mozart Consortium since 1996: SICS, Saarland University, UCL
- The current language is Oz 3
  - Both simpler and more expressive than previous designs
  - Distribution support (transparency), constraint support (computation spaces), component-based programming
  - High-quality open source implementation: Mozart
History: teaching with Oz

- In the summer of 1999, we (the authors) had an epiphany: we realized that we understood programming well enough to teach it in a unified way
  - We started work on a textbook and we started teaching with it
  - Little did we realize the amount of work it would take. The book was finally completed near the end of 2003 and turned out a great deal thicker than we anticipated. It appeared in 2004 from MIT Press.
- Much new understanding came with the writing and organization
  - The book is organized according to the creative extension principle
  - We were much helped by the factorized design of the Oz language; the book “deconstructs” this design and presents a large subset of it in a novel way
- We rediscovered much important computer science that was “forgotten”, e.g., deterministic concurrency, objects vs. ADTs
  - Both were already known in the 1970s, but largely ignored afterward!

Creative extension principle

- Language design driven by limitations in expressiveness
- With a given language, when programs start getting complicated for technical reasons unrelated to the problem being solved, then there is a new programming concept waiting to be discovered
  - Adding this concept to the language recovers simplicity
- A typical example is exceptions
  - If the language does not have them, all routines on the call path need to check and return error codes (non-local changes)
  - With exceptions, only the ends need to be changed (local changes)
- We rediscovered this principle when writing the book!
  - Defined formally and published in 1990 by Felleisen et al
Example of creative extension principle

Language without exceptions

```
proc (P1 ... E1)
  (P2 ... E2)
    if E2 then ... end
  end
E1 = ...
end
```

Language with exceptions

```
try
  proc (P2 ...)
  catch E then ... end
end
```

Taxonomy of paradigms

Declarative programming
- Strict functional programming, Scheme, ML
- Deterministic logic programming, Prolog
  + concurrency
  + by-need synchronization
- Declarative (dataflow) concurrency
  Lazy functional programming, Haskell
  + nondeterministic choice
- Concurrent logic programming, FCP
  + exceptions
  + explicit state
- Object-oriented programming, Java, C++
  + search
- Nondeterministic logic prog., Prolog
  + computation spaces
- Constraint programming

- This diagram shows some of the important paradigms and how they relate according to the creative extension principle
- Each paradigm has its pluses and minuses and areas in which it is best
### Complete set of concepts (so far)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Syntax</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><code>&lt;s&gt;</code> ::=</td>
<td>Empty statement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>skip</code></td>
<td>Variable binding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>`&lt;x&gt; ::= &lt;record&gt;</td>
<td>&lt;number&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>local &lt;x&gt; in &lt;s&gt; end</code></td>
<td>Sequential composition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>if <code>&lt;x&gt;</code> then <code>&lt;s&gt;</code>, else <code>&lt;s&gt;</code> end</td>
<td>Variable creation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>case <code>&lt;x&gt;</code> of <code>&lt;o&gt;</code> then <code>&lt;s&gt;_1</code>, else <code>&lt;s&gt;_2</code> end</td>
<td>Conditional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>{&lt;s&gt;, ... </code>&lt;x&gt;<code>, ... &lt;x&gt;</code>}&gt;`</td>
<td>Pattern matching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thread <code>&lt;s&gt; end</code></td>
<td>Procedure invocation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(<code>WaitNeeded </code>&lt;x&gt;<code>)</code></td>
<td>Thread creation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>{NewName <code>&lt;x&gt;</code>}</td>
<td>By-need synchronization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>&lt;x&gt;_1 = !!&lt;x&gt;_2</code></td>
<td>Name creation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>try <code>&lt;x&gt;</code>, catch <code>&lt;x&gt;</code> then <code>&lt;s&gt;_2</code> end</td>
<td>Read-only view</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>raise <code>&lt;x&gt;</code> end</td>
<td>Exception context</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(NewPort <code>&lt;x&gt;_1</code>, <code>&lt;x&gt;_2</code>)</td>
<td>Raise exception</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Send <code>&lt;x&gt;_1</code>, <code>&lt;x&gt;_2</code>)</td>
<td>Port creation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><code>&lt;space&gt;</code></td>
<td>Encapsulated search</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Alternative**: Encapsulated search
Examples of the concepts-based approach

Examples showing the usefulness of the approach

- The concepts-based approach gives a broader and deeper view of programming than more traditional language- or tool-oriented approaches
- We illustrate this with four examples:
  - Concurrent programming
  - Data abstraction
  - Graphical user interface programming
  - Object-oriented programming in a wider framework
Concurrent programming

- There are three main paradigms of concurrent programming
  - **Declarative (dataflow; deterministic) concurrency**
  - **Message-passing concurrency** (active entities that send asynchronous messages; Actor model, Erlang style)
  - **Shared-state concurrency** (active entities that share common data using locks and monitors; Java style)

- **Declarative concurrency** is very useful, yet is little known
  - No race conditions and declarative reasoning techniques
  - Large parts of programs can be written with it

- **Shared-state concurrency** is the most complicated, yet it is the most widespread!
  - Message-passing concurrency is a better default

---

Example of declarative concurrency

- **Producer/consumer with dataflow**

```plaintext
fun {Prod N Max} if N<Max then N|{Prod N+1 Max} else nil end end

proc {Cons Xs} case Xs of X|Xr then {Display X} {Cons Xr} [] nil then skip end end

local Xs in thread Xs={Prod 0 1000} end
thread {Cons Xs} end end
```

- Prod and Cons threads share dataflow stream `Xs`
- Dataflow behavior of case statement (synchronize on data availability) gives stream communication
- No other concurrency control needed
Data abstraction

- A data abstraction is a high-level view of data
  - It consists of a set of instances, called the data, that can be manipulated according to certain rules, called the interface
  - The advantages of this are well-known, e.g., it is simpler to use and learn, it segregates responsibilities (team projects), it simplifies maintenance, and the implementation can provide some behavior guarantees
- There are at least four ways to organize a data abstraction
  - According to two axes: bundling and state

Objects and ADTs

- The first axis is bundling
- An abstract data type (ADT) has separate values and operations
  - Example: integers (values: 1, 2, 3, …; operations: +, -, *, div, …)
  - Canonical language: CLU (Barbara Liskov et al, 1970s)
- An object combines values and operations into a single entity
  - Example: stack objects (instances with push, pop, isEmpty operations)
  - Canonical languages: Simula (Dahl & Nygaard, 1960s), Smalltalk (Xerox PARC, 1970s)
Summary of data abstractions

- The book explains how to program these four possibilities and says what they are good for

Have objects defeated ADTs?

- Absolutely not! Currently popular “object-oriented” languages actually mix objects and ADTs, for good reasons
  - For example, in Java:
    - Basic types such as integers are ADTs (a perfectly good design decision)
    - Instances of the same class can access each other’s private attributes (which is an ADT property)
  - To understand these languages, it’s important for students to understand objects and ADTs
    - ADTs allow to express efficient implementation, which is not possible with pure objects (even Smalltalk is based on ADTs!)
    - Polymorphism and inheritance work for both objects and ADTs, but are easier to express with objects
  - For more information and explanation, see the book!
Graphical user interface programming

- There are three main approaches:
  - Imperative approach (AWT, Swing, tcl/tk, …): maximum expressiveness with maximum development cost
  - Declarative approach (HTML): reduced development cost with reduced expressiveness
  - Interface builder approach: adequate for the part of the GUI that is known before the application runs

- All are unsatisfactory for dynamic GUIs, which change during execution
  - For example, display characteristics often change during and between executions

Mixed declarative/imperative approach to GUI design

- Using both approaches together can get the best of both worlds:
  - A declarative specification is a data structure. It is concise and can be calculated by a program.
  - An imperative specification is a program. It has maximum expressiveness but is hard to manipulate as a data structure.

- This makes creating dynamic GUIs very easy
- This is an important foundation for model-based GUI design, an important methodology for human-computer interfaces
Example GUI

**Nested record with handler object E and action procedure P**

- $W = td(lr(label("Enter your name"), entry(handle:E)), button(text:"Ok", action:P))$
- \{Build W\}
- \{E.set(text:"Type here")\}
- Result = \{E.get(text: $)\}

Example dynamic GUI

- $W = placeholder(handle:P)$
- \{P.set(label("Hello"))\}
- \{P.set(entry("World"))\}

- Any GUI specification can be put in the placeholder at runtime (the spec is a data structure that can be calculated)
Object-oriented programming: a small part of a big world

- Object-oriented programming is just one tool in a vastly bigger world
- For example, consider the task of building robust telecommunications systems
  - Ericsson has developed a highly available ATM switch, the AXD 301, using a message-passing architecture (more than one million lines of Erlang code)
  - The important concepts are isolation, concurrency, and higher-order programming
  - Not used are inheritance, classes and methods, UML diagrams, and monitors

Teaching formal semantics
Teaching formal semantics

- It's important to put programming on a solid foundation. Otherwise students will have muddled thinking for the rest of their careers.
  - A typical mistake is confusing syntax and semantics
  - A simple semantics is important for predictable and intuitive behavior, even if the students don’t learn it
- But how can we teach semantics without getting bogged down by the mathematics?
  - The semantics should be simple enough to be used by programmers, not just by mathematicians
- We propose an approach based on a simple abstract machine (an operational semantics)
  - It is both simple and rigorous
  - We teach it successfully to second-year engineering students

Three levels of teaching semantics

- First level: abstract machine (the rest of this talk)
  - Concepts of execution stack and environment
  - Can explain last call optimization and memory management (including garbage collection)
- Second level: structural operational semantics
  - Straightforward way to give semantics of a practical language
  - Directly related to the abstract machine
- Third level: develop the mathematical theory
  - Axiomatic, denotational, and logical semantics are introduced for the paradigms in which they work best
  - Primarily for theoretical computer scientists
Abstract machine

- The approach has three steps:
  - **Full language**: includes all syntactic support to help the programmer
  - **Kernel language**: contains all the concepts but no syntactic support
  - **Abstract machine**: execution of programs written in the kernel language

Translating to kernel language

```plaintext
fun {Fact N}
  if N==0 then 1
  else N*{Fact N-1}
end

proc {Fact N F}
  local B in
  B=(N==0)
  if B then F=1
  else
    local N1 F1 in
    N1=N-1
    {Fact N1 F1}
    F=N*F1
end
end
```

All syntactic aids are removed: all identifiers are shown (locals and output arguments), all functions become procedures, etc.
Syntax of a simple kernel language (1)

- EBNF notation; \(<s>\) denotes a statement

\[
<s> ::= \text{skip} \\
| \ <x>_1 = <x>_2 \\
| \ <x> = <v> \\
| \text{local} \ <x> \ \text{in} \ <s> \ \text{end} \\
| \text{if} \ <x> \ \text{then} \ <s> \ , \ \text{else} \ <s> \ \text{end} \\
| \{<x> \ <x>_1 \ldots \ <x>_n\} \\
| \text{case} \ <x> \ \text{of} \ <p> \ \text{then} \ <s> \ \text{else} \ <s> \ \text{end} \\
\]

\[
<v> ::= \ldots \\
<p> ::= \ldots
\]

Syntax of a simple kernel language (2)

- EBNF notation; \(<v>\) denotes a value, \(<p>\) denotes a pattern

\[
<v> ::= <\text{record}> | <\text{number}> | <\text{procedure}> \\
<\text{record}>, <\text{p}>::= <\text{lit}> | <\text{lit}>(<\text{feat}_1 : <x>_1 \ldots <\text{feat}_n: <x>_n>) \\
<\text{number}>::= <\text{int}> | <\text{float}> \\
<\text{procedure}>::= \text{proc} \{<x>_1 \ldots <x>_n\} <s> \ \text{end}
\]

- This kernel language covers a simple declarative paradigm
- Note that it is definitely not a “theoretically minimal” language!
  - It is designed for programmers, not for mathematicians
  - This is an important principle throughout the book!
  - We want to teach programming, not mathematics
  - The semantics is both intuitive and useful for reasoning
Abstract machine concepts

- Single-assignment store $\sigma = \{x_1=10, x_2, x_3=20\}$
- Memory variables and their values
- Environment $E = \{X \rightarrow x, Y \rightarrow y\}$
- Link between program identifiers and store variables
- Semantic statement $(<s>,E)$
  - A statement with its environment
- Semantic stack $ST = [(<s>_1,E_1), \ldots, (<s>_n,E_n)]$
  - A stack of semantic statements, “what remains to be done”
- Execution $(ST_1,\sigma_1) \rightarrow (ST_2,\sigma_2) \rightarrow (ST_3,\sigma_3) \rightarrow \ldots$
  - A sequence of execution states (stack + store)

The local statement

- $(\text{local } X \text{ in } <s> \text{ end, } E)$
  - Create a new memory variable $x$
  - Add the mapping $\{X \rightarrow x\}$ to the environment
The if statement

- \((\text{if } <x> \text{ then } <s>, \text{ else } <s> \text{ end}, E)\)
- This statement has an activation condition: \(E(<x>)\) must be bound to a value
- Execution consists of the following actions:
  - If the activation condition is true, then do:
    - If \(E(<x>)\) is not a boolean, then raise an error condition
    - If \(E(<x>)\) is true, then push \((<s>_{1}, E)\) on the stack
    - If \(E(<x>)\) is false, then push \((<s>_{2}, E)\) on the stack
  - If the activation condition is false, then the execution does nothing (it suspends)
- If some other activity makes the activation condition true, then execution continues. This gives dataflow synchronization, which is at the heart of declarative concurrency.

Procedures (closures)

- A procedure value (closure) is a pair
  \((\text{proc } \{ <y>_{1} \ldots <y>_{n} <s> \text{ end}, CE)\)
  where \(CE\) (the “contextual environment”) is \(E|_{<z>_{1}, \ldots, <z>_{n}}\) with \(E\) the environment where the procedure is defined and \(<z>_{1}, \ldots, <z>_{n}\) the procedure’s free identifiers
- A procedure call \((\{<x> <x>_{1} \ldots <x>_{n}\}, E)\) executes as follows:
  - If \(E(<x>)\) is a procedure value as above, then push \((<s>, CE+\{<y>_{1} \mapsto E(<x>_{1}), \ldots, <y>_{n} \mapsto E(<x>_{n})\})\)
    on the semantic stack
  - This allows higher-order programming as in functional languages
Using the abstract machine

- With it, students can work through program execution at the right level of detail
  - Detailed enough to explain many important properties
  - Abstract enough to make it practical and machine-independent (e.g., we do not go down to the machine architecture level!)
- We use it to explain behavior and derive properties
  - We explain last call optimization
  - We explain garbage collection
  - We calculate time and space complexity of programs
  - We explain higher-order programming
  - We give a simple semantics for objects and inheritance

Conclusions

- We presented a concepts-based approach for teaching programming
  - Programming languages are organized according to their concepts
  - The full set of concepts covers all major programming paradigms
- We gave examples of how this approach gives insight
  - Concurrent programming, data abstraction, GUI programming, the role of object-oriented programming
- We have written a textbook published by MIT Press in 2004 and are using it to teach second-year to graduate courses
  - “Concepts, Techniques, and Models of Computer Programming”
  - The textbook covers both theory (formal semantics) and practice (using the Mozart Programming System, http://www.mozart-oz.org)
- For more information
  - Also Multiparadigm Programming in Mozart/Oz (Springer LNCS 3389)